

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 i 3-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,022	01/17/2006	Kunihiko Matsui	101621-23	9014
27387 NORRIS, MCI	7590 09/06/2007 LAUGHLIN & MARCUS, I	EXAMINER		
875 THIRD AV		PHAM, TOAN NGOC		
18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	
		•		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

4	4	/
_,	_	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/565,022	MATSUI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Toan N. Pham	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Ja</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	nnuary 2006. action is non-final.				
· <u> </u>	· <u> </u>				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3.4 and 6 is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3.4 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/17/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/565,022

Art Unit: 2612

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nylander (US 6,094,173).

Regarding claim 1: Nylander discloses a method for detecting RFID tag signal comprising a plurality of antennas with coils wound in opposite directions and connected in series and placed in a plane for magnetic field generation (col. 3, lines 17-34; Fig. 9) and an output circuit for taking out a differential output between each output of the plurality of antennas for detecting the magnetic field (col. 4, lines 56-67; col. 6, lines 15-33, 47-67; col. 7, lines 1-41).

Regarding claim 3: Nylander discloses the output detects the differential signal from each antenna and amplified it through circuits (80, 82, 84) (col. 7, lines 20-41); thus, indicative of a differential amplifier circuit.

Regarding claim 4: Nylander discloses the output circuit is a circuit formed by connecting the plurality of antennas for detecting magnetic field in series so that the polarity of antennas become opposite from each other (col. 3, lines 24-34; col. 6, lines 15-33).

Application/Control Number: 10/565,022

Art Unit: 2612

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nylander (US 6,094,173).

Regarding claim 6: Nylander does not disclose the distance of the antenna loop is 10-40 cm; however, it is merely a matter of design choice to specified a certain antenna distance. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognizes that different distances 10-40 cm or 10-50cm would have been an obvious design choice.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art of Lichtblau (US 4,243,980), Chang (US 5,142,292), Lichtblau (US 4,866,455), Wuidart et al. (US 7,023,391) and Kaltner (US 5,126,749) are cited to show a variety of EAS antenna structures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan N. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2967. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Toan N Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2612

August 31, 2007